

Notes on very ample vector bundles on 3-folds

HIDETOSHI MAEDA AND ANDREW J. SOMMESE

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank two on a smooth complex projective threefold X . An inequality about the third Segre class of $\mathcal{E}$ is provided when $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef but not big, and when a suitable positive multiple of $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ defines a morphism $X \rightarrow B$ with connected fibers onto a smooth projective curve B , where K_X is the canonical bundle of X . As an application, the case where the genus of B is positive and $\mathcal{E}$ has a global section whose zero locus is a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus ≥ 2 is investigated, and our previous result is improved for threefolds.

Introduction

In what follows, varieties are always assumed to be defined over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank $n - 1$ on a smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then there exists a global section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $C = (s)_0$ is a smooth curve on X .

If $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef and big, then there exist a birational morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$ expressing X as the blow-up of a smooth projective variety X' along a finite set B of points (possibly empty) and an ample vector bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ of rank $n - 1$ on X' such that $\mathcal{E} = \pi^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\pi^{-1}(B))$ and that $K_{X'} + \det \mathcal{E}'$ is ample. The polarized pair $(X', \mathcal{E}')$ is called the *first reduction* of $(X, \mathcal{E})$. In this case, under the assumption that C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$, we have proved in [MS]

$$n \geq \tau \geq \frac{(n-1)(g+t+1)+2}{2(g-1)} - 1,$$

where τ is the nefvalue of the polarized pair $(X', K_{X'} + \det \mathcal{E}')$ and t is the number of exceptional divisors with respect to the first reduction morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$.

As a continuation of the above research, we investigate the case where $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef but not big in case $n = 3$. According to [BS1, Theorem 3.1], there are five possibilities in this case. In this article we restrict ourselves to especially the following three cases among them, where a suitable positive multiple of $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60; Secondary 14F05, 14C20, 14J30.

defines a morphism $X \rightarrow B$ with connected fibers onto a smooth projective curve B .

- (a) X is a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over a smooth curve B , and $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for any fiber F of the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow B$;
- (b) X is a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over a smooth curve B , and $\mathcal{E}_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}}$ for any fiber F of the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, where $T_{\mathbb{P}}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^2$;
- (c) there exists a surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth curve B such that a general fiber F of π is a smooth quadric surface $\mathbb{Q}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus 2}$.

We note that $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} = \pi^* H$ for some ample line bundle H on B in every case. The purpose of this article is to give an inequality in each of the above cases concerning the third Segre class of $\mathcal{E}$ by using the double point formula. The precise statement of our result is as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank two on a smooth projective 3-fold X , and assume that $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of (a), (b) or (c). Let D denote the third Segre class $s_3(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$, let q be the genus of B , and let $\rho = \deg(H - K_B)$. Then*

- (1) $D(D - 20) \geq 200(q - 1) + 37\rho$ in case (a);
- (2) $D(D - 20) \geq 168(q - 1) + 21\rho$ in case (b);
- (3) $D(D - 20) \geq 168(q - 1) + 20\rho + k$ in case (c), where k is the number of singular fibers of π .

By Lemma 4, the singular fibers in case (c) are biholomorphic to irreducible and reduced quadric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 0 we collect preliminary material. Section 1 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. As an application of Theorem 1, in Section 2 we come back to the case where C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus ≥ 2 , and show that case (a) does not occur and that case (b) is very restricted when the genus of B is positive. This allows us to improve [MS, Theorem 6] in case of 3-folds. It also complements the result [LPS, Theorem 2.4] as noted in [LPS, Remark 2.5].

0. Background material

We use the standard notation from algebraic geometry. The tensor products of line bundles are denoted additively. The numerical equivalence is denoted by $\equiv$. The pullback $i^* \mathcal{E}$ of a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on X by an embedding $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_Y$. For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on X , the tautological line bundle on the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ associated to $\mathcal{E}$ is denoted by $H(\mathcal{E})$. A vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a projective variety X is said to be *very ample*, if the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample. We denote by K_X the canonical bundle of a smooth variety X . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank $n - 1$ on a smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 3$ such that there exists a global section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $C = (s)_0$ is a smooth curve on X . Then we should note that $K_C = (K_X + \det \mathcal{E})_C$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank $n - 1$ on X . Assume that $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef. Then, by the base point free theorem, a suitable positive multiple of $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is spanned and defines a morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety B . Assume furthermore that $\dim B = 1$. Then, from [BS1, Theorem 3.1] for $n = 3$ and [ABW, Theorem B] for $n \geq 4$, $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (a) X is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ -bundle over a smooth curve B , and $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)}$ for any fiber F of the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow B$;
- (b) X is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ -bundle over a smooth curve B , and $\mathcal{E}_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}}$ for any fiber F of the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, where $T_{\mathbb{P}}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$;
- (c) there exists a surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth curve B such that a general fiber F of π is a smooth hyperquadric $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$.

It should be emphasized that $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} = \pi^* H$ for some ample line bundle H on B in every case.

In all that follows, suppose that $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of (a), (b) or (c). Since $\mathcal{E}$ is very ample, there exists a global section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $C = (s)_0$ is a smooth curve on X . Let g denote the genus of C . We note that the restriction $\pi_C : C \rightarrow B$ of π to C is surjective. Hence the Riemann-Hurwitz formula tells us that

$$2g - 2 = d(2q - 2) + r,$$

where q is the genus of B , d is the degree of π_C , and r is the degree of the ramification divisor of π_C . Since $d = c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_F)$, we have $d = 2$ in cases (a), (c) and $d = n$ in case (b). On the other hand,

$$K_C = (K_X + \det \mathcal{E})_C = (\pi^* H)_C = \pi_C^* H,$$

so that

$$2g - 2 = d\delta,$$

where $\delta = \deg H$. Hence

$$d\delta = d(2q - 2) + r.$$

This implies that r is an integer multiple of d . Let $r = d\rho$. Then

$$(0.1) \quad \delta = 2q - 2 + \rho,$$

and we conclude that

$$\rho = \deg(H - K_B).$$

It should be kept in mind that

$$(0.2) \quad 2g - 2 = d(2q - 2 + \rho).$$

The following lemma tells us that $\rho > 0$.

Lemma 2. *The morphism $\pi_C : C \rightarrow B$ can never be unramified.*

Proof. Let X_C denote the fiber product $X \times_B C$ of X and C over B . Then X_C is connected. Let $p_1 : X_C \rightarrow X$ be the first projection, and let $p_2 : X_C \rightarrow C$ be the second projection. Note that $p_1^* \mathcal{E}$ is ample and that $p_1^{-1}(C)$ is the zero locus of the pullback of the section s defining C . Thus $H^0(p_1^{-1}(C), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^0(X_C, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ by the Lefschetz-Sommese theorem [LM2, Theorem 1.1] (note that its proof is valid without assuming the connectedness of $p_1^{-1}(C)$), so that $p_1^{-1}(C)$ is connected. On the other hand, $p_1^{-1}(C)$ decomposes into a curve C' and a curve C'' , where C' is the image of the section of p_2 defined by sending $x \in C$ to $(x, x) \in X_C$. If π_C is unramified, then $C' \cap C'' = \emptyset$. This contradicts the connectedness of $p_1^{-1}(C)$. $\square$

Moreover, if C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and if $q > 0$, then we have the following

Lemma 3. *Assume that C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. If the genus q of B is positive, then ρ is either 1 or 2.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 we know that $\rho > 0$. Letting $H = K_B + \mathcal{H}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{H}$ on B , we have $\rho = \deg \mathcal{H}$ and $K_C = \pi_C^*(K_B + \mathcal{H})$. Since C is hyperelliptic, $|K_B + \mathcal{H}|$ cannot take any smooth curve of positive genus as its image. Therefore $\deg \mathcal{H} \leq 2$. $\square$

1. Proof of Theorem 1

From now on, throughout these notes, we assume that $n = 3$, and use the same notation as in Section 0. Let $M = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ be the projective space bundle associated to $\mathcal{E}$, let $p : M \rightarrow X$ be the bundle projection, and let $H(\mathcal{E})$ be the tautological line bundle on M . Then $H(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample. As mentioned, we have $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} = \pi^* H$. Thus $K_M + 2H(\mathcal{E}) = p^*(K_X + \det \mathcal{E}) = p^* \pi^* H$.

Let Z be a general element of $|H(\mathcal{E})|$, let S be a general element of $|H(\mathcal{E})_Z|$, and let $\varphi : S \rightarrow B$ be the restriction of $\pi \circ p$ to S . Then $K_S = \varphi^* H$. Let G be a general fiber of $\pi \circ p$, and let $f = S \cap G$. Since S can be regarded as the zero locus of a section $t \in \Gamma(M, H(\mathcal{E})^{\oplus 2})$, f is the zero locus of the section $t_G \in \Gamma(G, H(\mathcal{E})_G^{\oplus 2})$. Hence $f \neq \emptyset$, and φ is surjective. In particular, this implies that f is a 1- equidimensional smooth fiber of φ . On the other hand, since $H^0(f, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ by [LM2, Theorem 1.1], f is a smooth curve in S and we conclude that φ has connected fibers.

Now let us apply the double point formula [BS2, Theorem 13.1.5] to $(Z, H(\mathcal{E})_Z)$, which tells us that

$$e(Z) - 48\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) + 84\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 11K_S^2 - 17K_S H(\mathcal{E})_S - (K_Z + H(\mathcal{E})_Z)^3 + D(D - 20) \geq 0,$$

where $D = H(\mathcal{E})_Z^3 = H(\mathcal{E})^4$, and $e(Z)$ is the topological Euler characteristic of Z . Then

$$D = s_3(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E})^3 - 2c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $s_3(\mathcal{E})$ is the third Segre class of $\mathcal{E}$. We have

$$K_S^2 = (\varphi^*H)^2 = 0$$

and

$$(K_Z + H(\mathcal{E})_Z)^3 = (K_M + 2H(\mathcal{E}))_Z^3 = (p^*\pi^*H)_Z^3 = 0.$$

Let us compute $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $K_S H(\mathcal{E})_S$. First, since Z is the blow-up of X along C , Z is birational to X . Thus

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_B) = 1 - q.$$

Next, since $K_S = \varphi^*H$ and φ has connected fibers, we have

$$h^0(K_S) = h^0(H).$$

Furthermore, by the Kodaira vanishing theorem,

$$0 = h^1(K_M + 2H(\mathcal{E})) = h^1(H),$$

since $K_M + 2H(\mathcal{E}) = p^*\pi^*H$. Moreover, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0,$$

we see that

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = q.$$

Therefore, the Riemann-Roch theorem applied to (B, H) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2q - 2 + \rho = \delta = \deg H &= h^0(H) + q - 1 \\ &= h^0(K_S) + q - 1 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_S) + 2q - 2 \\ &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + 2q - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \rho.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_S H(\mathcal{E})_S &= (\varphi^*H)H(\mathcal{E})_S = (p^*\pi^*H)_Z H(\mathcal{E})_Z^2 = (p^*\pi^*H)H(\mathcal{E})^3 \\ &= \delta \mathbb{P}_F(\mathcal{E}_F)H(\mathcal{E})^3 = \delta H(\mathcal{E}_F)^3 \\ &= \delta(c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 - c_2(\mathcal{E}_F)) = \delta(c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 - d) \\ &= (2q - 2 + \rho)(c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 - d). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in sum,

$$(1.0) \quad e(Z) - 48(1 - q) + 84\rho - 17(2q - 2 + \rho)(c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 - d) + D(D - 20) \geq 0.$$

We proceed now by cases.

(1.1) *Case (a)*. In this case we have

$$e(Z) = e(X) + e(C) = e(\mathbb{P}^2)e(B) + e(C) = 3(2 - 2q) + 2 - 2g.$$

Combining this with (0.2) gives $e(Z) = 6(1 - q) - d(2q - 2 + \rho)$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 = 9$ and $d = 2$, (1.0) tells us that

$$6(1 - q) - 2(2q - 2 + \rho) - 48(1 - q) + 84\rho - 119(2q - 2 + \rho) + D(D - 20) \geq 0.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$D(D - 20) \geq 200(q - 1) + 37\rho,$$

and the result is proved.

(1.2) *Case (b)*. Here we have $c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 = 9$ and $d = 3$. By the same argument as that in (1.1), we get

$$6(1 - q) - 3(2q - 2 + \rho) - 48(1 - q) + 84\rho - 102(2q - 2 + \rho) + D(D - 20) \geq 0.$$

Consequently

$$D(D - 20) \geq 168(q - 1) + 21\rho.$$

(1.3) *Case (c)*. Before proceeding with the proof, we present the following

Lemma 4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in case (c). Then every fiber of π is an irreducible and reduced quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proof. We set $L = \det \mathcal{E}$. Then, as we pointed out, $K_X + L = \pi^*H$.

We first claim that every fiber F of π is irreducible and reduced. Suppose to the contrary that F is not irreducible and reduced for some F . Then we can write $F = n_1F_1 + \cdots + n_rF_r$ for distinct integral surfaces $F_1, \dots, F_r$ and positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_r$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_r \geq 2$. Hence a general element $T \in |L|$ must meet F in a curve $f = n_1f_1 + \cdots + n_rf_r$ for distinct integral curves $f_1, \dots, f_r$. Now we know that $Lf_i \geq 2$ for any i from [LM1, Corollary 1]. If $Lf_i = 2$ for some i , then $f_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ by [LM1, Corollary 2]. Since $f_i \in |L_{F_i}|$, we see that $f_i \cap \text{Sing}(F_i) = \emptyset$, where $\text{Sing}(F_i)$ is the singular locus of F_i . Thus $\text{Sing}(F_i) \subset F_i - f_i$. Since $\text{Sing}(F_i)$ is a compact algebraic set and $F_i - f_i$ is affine, we conclude that F_i has at most isolated singularities. This implies that F_i is normal, and the classification of the polarized surfaces of sectional genus zero applies to (F_i, L_{F_i}) (see for example [BS2, Corollary 3.2.10]). However, since $L_{F_i}E \geq 2$ for any rational curve E on F_i , (F_i, L_{F_i}) must

be $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2))$. Therefore $L_{F_i}^2 = 4$. On the other hand, $L_{F_i}^2 = L_{F_i} f_i = 2$. This is a contradiction. Consequently $L f_i = L_{F_i}^2 \geq 3$ for any i . We should note that $L f = L_F^2 = 8$ because $\mathcal{E}_G \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)^{\oplus 2}$ for a general fiber G of π . Moreover, since $K_X + L = \pi^* H$, we have $K_T = \pi_T^* H$, where π_T is the restriction of π to T . Thus T is a properly elliptic minimal surface, so that [S, Lemma 0.5.1] tells us that $\pi_T : T \rightarrow B$ has no multiple fibers. As a direct result of this observation, we obtain $r = 2$ and $n_1 = n_2 = 1$. Since $K_T = \pi_T^* H$, we have $(K_T + f_i) f_i = f_i^2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, since $f = f_1 + f_2$, we get $f_i(f_1 + f_2) = 0$. Thus $f_1^2 = -f_1 f_2 < 0$, since π_T has connected fibers. Similarly $f_2^2 < 0$. Hence $f_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $f_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and so by the same argument as above we have $F_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and $F_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Now we know that $F = F_1 + F_2$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{F_1}(F_1 + F_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F_1}$. Since F_1 meets F_2 in a curve, the normal bundle $N_{F_1/X} = \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(F_1)$ to F_1 in X is negative. By a well-known theorem of Grauert (see for example [BS2, Theorem 3.2.7]) there exists a holomorphic map $p : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a normal analytic variety Y such that $p(F_1)$ is a point, y , and p induces a biholomorphism $X - F_1 \cong Y - \{y\}$. In particular, $F_1 \cap F_2$ is contracted. However, this is absurd because F_1 has no curves with negative self-intersection. Consequently every fiber F of π is irreducible and reduced.

If F is smooth, then $(F, \mathcal{E}_F) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus 2})$, where $\mathbb{Q}^2$ is a smooth quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let F be a singular fiber of π . We claim that F is a singular quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$. To see this, take a general element $T \in |L|$. Then T meets F in an irreducible and reduced curve f . We note that the arithmetic genus of f is one because $(K_T + f)f = 0$. If f is not smooth, then f has a single singular point, so that $L f = 1$. This contradicts the fact that $L f \geq 2$. Hence f is smooth, and the same argument as above again shows that F is normal. Since $K_X + L = \pi^* H$, we have $K_F + L_F = \mathcal{O}_F$, and we conclude that F is a normal Gorenstein Del Pezzo surface with $K_F^2 = 8$. According to [Br, Theorem 1], F is one of the following:

- (i) F is a singular quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$;
- (ii) F is the space obtained by blowing down the zero section Δ of a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle P on a smooth elliptic curve Γ ;
- (iii) F is a rational surface with only rational double points as singularities, obtained from $\mathbb{P}^2$ by blowing up some number $\alpha \leq 8$ points (iterations allowed) then blowing down some number $\beta \leq \alpha$ smooth rational curves, each with self-intersection -2 .

In case (ii) we can write $K_P + \mathcal{O}_P(k\Delta) = \sigma^* K_F$ for some integer k , where σ is the blowing-down of Δ . Since $(K_P + \mathcal{O}_P(\Delta))_{\Delta} = K_{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} &= (\sigma^* K_F)_{\Delta} = (K_P + \mathcal{O}_P(k\Delta))_{\Delta} \\ &= (K_P + \mathcal{O}_P(\Delta) + \mathcal{O}_P((k-1)\Delta))_{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}((k-1)\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $k = 1$. Hence $K_P + \mathcal{O}_P(\Delta) = \sigma^* K_F$. Set $\Delta^2 = -r$ for some positive integer r . Then $K_P \equiv -2\Delta - r\rho$, where ρ is a fiber of the bundle projection $P \rightarrow \Gamma$. Thus $-\sigma^* K_F \equiv \Delta + r\rho$, so that

$$L_F \sigma(\rho) = -K_F \sigma(\rho) = (-\sigma^* K_F) \rho = (\Delta + r\rho) \rho = 1.$$

This contradicts $L_F\sigma(\rho) \geq 2$. In case (iii), let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the composite of α blowing-up morphisms, and let $\tau : G \rightarrow F$ be the composite of β blowing-down morphisms. Then $K_F^2 = K_G^2 = K_{\mathbb{P}^2}^2 - \alpha = 9 - \alpha$. Hence $\alpha = 1$, since $K_F^2 = 8$. Consequently G is the first Hirzebruch surface. Moreover, either $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$. If $\beta = 0$, then $F = G$. This is impossible because F is singular. However, the case $\beta = 1$ is also impossible because G has no (-2) -curves. Therefore case (iii) does not occur. Consequently F must be a singular quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and the result is proved. $\square$

We return to the proof of Theorem 1. In case (c), let F' be a singular fiber of π , and let k denote the number of singular fibers of π . Then, since F' is a singular quadric with an isolated singularity by Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e(Z) &= e(X) + e(C) = e(X - kF') + ke(F') + e(C) \\ &= 4(2 - 2q - k) + 3k + 2 - 2g = 8(1 - q) - k - d(2q - 2 + \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 = 8$ and $d = 2$, it follows from (1.0) that

$$8(1 - q) - k - 2(2q - 2 + \rho) - 48(1 - q) + 84\rho - 102(2q - 2 + \rho) + D(D - 20) \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$D(D - 20) \geq 168(q - 1) + 20\rho + k,$$

and we have thus proved Theorem 1.

2. The case of a hyperelliptic curve

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank two on a smooth projective 3-fold X , and assume that $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of (a), (b) or (c). In this section we set up the following condition:

- (*) There exists a global section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $C = (s)_0$ is a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$, and the base curve B has genus $q > 0$.

Theorem 5. *Under the assumption (*), case (a) does not occur.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in case (a). Then we can write $X = \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{V})$ for some vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ of rank three on B . Since $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for any fiber F of π , $\mathcal{F} := \pi_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes (-2H(\mathcal{V})))$ is a line bundle on B , and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 2H(\mathcal{V}) + \pi^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle Q on X . Then $Q_F = \det \mathcal{E}_F - 2H(\mathcal{V})_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Hence $Q = H(\mathcal{V}) + \pi^*L$ for some line bundle L on B . We note that Q is very ample, because $\mathcal{E}$ is very ample. Let $\mathcal{G} = \pi_*Q$. Then, since $Q_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for any F , $\mathcal{G}$ is a vector bundle of rank three on B such that $(X, Q) \cong (\mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{G}), H(\mathcal{G}))$. Thus $\mathcal{G}$ is very

ample. We can write numerically $2H(\mathcal{V}) + \pi^*\mathcal{F} \equiv 2H(\mathcal{G}) + \mu F$ for some integer μ . Thus $\det \mathcal{E} = 2H(\mathcal{V}) + \pi^*\mathcal{F} + Q \equiv 3H(\mathcal{G}) + \mu F$. Since $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} = \pi^*H$ for some ample line bundle H on B , it follows from (0.1) that $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} \equiv (2q - 2 + \rho)F$. Therefore

$$K_X \equiv -\det \mathcal{E} + (2q - 2 + \rho)F \equiv -3H(\mathcal{G}) + (2q - 2 + \rho - \mu)F.$$

On the other hand, from the basic relation $K_X = -3H(\mathcal{G}) + \pi^*(K_B + \det \mathcal{G})$, we have

$$K_X \equiv -3H(\mathcal{G}) + (2q - 2 + x)F,$$

where $x = \deg \mathcal{G}$. Consequently $\rho - \mu = x$, i.e., $\mu = \rho - x$.

Now, from the above exact sequence, $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = (2H(\mathcal{V}) + \pi^*\mathcal{F})Q \equiv 2H(\mathcal{G})^2 + \mu H(\mathcal{G})F$. As observed in Section 1, $D = c_1(\mathcal{E})^3 - 2c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (3H(\mathcal{G}) + \mu F)^3 - 2(3H(\mathcal{G}) + \mu F)(2H(\mathcal{G})^2 + \mu H(\mathcal{G})F) \\ (2.1) \quad &= 15H(\mathcal{G})^3 + 17\mu H(\mathcal{G})^2 F = 15x + 17\mu \\ &= 17\rho - 2x. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1, we have $D(D - 20) \geq 200(q - 1) + 37\rho$. Moreover, under the assumption (*), by Lemma 3 ρ is either 1 or 2. Hence $D(D - 20) \geq 37$. This implies that $D \geq 22$. Combining this with (2.1) gives $22 \leq 17\rho - 2x < 17\rho$, since $x > 0$. Thus $\rho = 2$. We claim that $q = 1$. To see this, suppose that $q \geq 2$. Since $\rho = 2$, we obtain $D(D - 20) \geq 274$. Thus $D \geq 30$. By using (2.1) again, we have $x = 17 - (1/2)D \leq 2$. Since $x = H(\mathcal{G})^3$ and $H(\mathcal{G})$ is very ample, we have a contradiction. Therefore $q = 1$, and $D(D - 20) \geq 74$. Hence $D \geq 24$, and by (2.1) we get $x = 17 - (1/2)D \leq 5$. On the other hand, applying [IT, Proposition 1] to $\mathcal{G}$ gives $x \geq 7$. This is also absurd. $\square$

Theorem 6. *Under the assumption (*), let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in case (b). Then $g = 4$ and $q = 1$.*

Proof. We can write $X = \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{G})$ for some vector bundle $\mathcal{G}$ of rank three on B . Let $x = \deg \mathcal{G}$. Then, since $K_X = -3H(\mathcal{G}) + \pi^*(K_B + \det \mathcal{G})$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad K_X \equiv -3H(\mathcal{G}) + (2q - 2 + x)F.$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = \pi_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes (-H(\mathcal{G})))$. Then, since $\mathcal{E}_F \cong T_{\mathbb{P}}$ for any F , $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle of rank three on B , and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*L \rightarrow (\pi^*\mathcal{V}) \otimes H(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle L on B . Let $l = \deg L$ and let $v = \deg \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad \det \mathcal{E} = 3H(\mathcal{G}) + \pi^* \det \mathcal{V} - \pi^*L \equiv 3H(\mathcal{G}) + (v - l)F.$$

Thus, by (2.2),

$$K_X + \det \mathcal{E} \equiv (2q - 2 + x + v - l)F.$$

On the other hand, since $K_X + \det \mathcal{E} = \pi^*H$, it follows from (0.1) that

$$K_X + \det \mathcal{E} \equiv (2q - 2 + \rho)F.$$

Hence

$$(2.4) \quad \rho = x + v - l.$$

From the above exact sequence, we get

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) + (\pi^*L) \det \mathcal{E} = c_2((\pi^*\mathcal{V}) \otimes H(\mathcal{G})) = 3H(\mathcal{G})^2 + 2(\pi^* \det \mathcal{V})H(\mathcal{G}).$$

Thus, by (2.3), we see that

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) \equiv 3H(\mathcal{G})^2 + 2vH(\mathcal{G})F - lF(3H(\mathcal{G}) + (v - l)F) = 3H(\mathcal{G})^2 + (2v - 3l)H(\mathcal{G})F.$$

Using this and the above exact sequence again, we obtain

$$3l = c_2(\mathcal{E})\pi^*L = c_3((\pi^*\mathcal{V}) \otimes H(\mathcal{G})) = H(\mathcal{G})^3 + (\pi^* \det \mathcal{V})H(\mathcal{G})^2 = x + v.$$

Therefore by (2.4), we have $\rho = x + v - l = 3l - l = 2l$, so that ρ is even. We know from Lemma 3 that ρ is either 1 or 2 under the assumption (*). Thus $\rho = 2$ and $l = 1$. Let us compute $c_1(\mathcal{E})^3$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E})$. First, by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{E})^3 &= (3H(\mathcal{G}) + (v - l)F)^3 = 27H(\mathcal{G})^3 + 27(v - l)H(\mathcal{G})^2F = 27x + 27(v - l) \\ &= 27(x + v - l) = 27\rho = 54. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E}) &= (3H(\mathcal{G}) + (v - l)F)(3H(\mathcal{G})^2 + (2v - 3l)H(\mathcal{G})F) \\ &= 9H(\mathcal{G})^3 + (9v - 12l)H(\mathcal{G})^2F = 9(x + v - l) - 3l = 9\rho - 3l = 15. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D = c_1(\mathcal{E})^3 - 2c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E})$, we have $D = 24$. On the other hand, Theorem 1 tells us that $D(D - 20) \geq 168(q - 1) + 42$, because $\rho = 2$. If $q \geq 2$, then $D(D - 20) \geq 210$, which implies that $D \geq 28$, a contradiction. Consequently $q = 1$, and we conclude with the aid of (0.2) that $g = 4$. $\square$

Especially for 3-folds, Theorem 5 allows us to improve [MS, Theorem 6] as follows:

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a very ample vector bundle of rank two on a smooth projective 3-fold X . Assume that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 2$. Then $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:*

- (1) *X is a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over a smooth curve B of genus 2, and $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ for any fiber F of the projection $X \rightarrow B$;*
- (2) *X is a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for any fiber F of the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is the pullback of a line bundle of degree 1 on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by π ;*
- (3) *there exists a surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth curve B of genus ≤ 1 such that a general fiber F of π is a smooth quadric surface $\mathbb{Q}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\mathcal{E}_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1)^{\oplus 2}$, and $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is the pullback of a line bundle of degree 1 on B by π .*

REFERENCES

- [ABW] M. Andreatta, E. Ballico and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Vector bundles and adjunction*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), 331–340.
- [BS1] M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *Comparing the classical and the adjunction theoretic definition of scrolls*, Geometry of Complex Projective Varieties, Cetraro, 1990 (A. Lanteri, M. Palleschi and D. C. Struppa, eds.), Sem. Conf., vol. **9**, Mediterranean, Rende, 1993, pp. 55–74.
- [BS2] M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*, de Gruyter Exp. Math., vol. **16**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [Br] L. Brenton, *On singular complex surfaces with negative canonical bundle, with applications to singular compactifications of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and to 3-dimensional rational singularities*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), 117–124.
- [IT] P. Ionescu and M. Toma, *On very ample vector bundles on curves*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), 633–643.
- [LM1] A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, *Adjoint bundles of ample and spanned vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **433** (1992), 181–199.
- [LM2] A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, *Ample vector bundle characterizations of projective bundles and quadric fibrations over curves*, Higher Dimensional Complex Varieties, Trento, 1994 (M. Andreatta and T. Peternell, eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1996, pp. 247–259.
- [LPS] A. Lanteri, M. Palleschi and A. J. Sommese, *On the adjunction mapping of very ample vector bundles of corank one*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 2307–2324.
- [MS] H. Maeda and A. J. Sommese, *Very ample vector bundles of curve genus two*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **79** (2002), 74–80.
- [S] A. J. Sommese, *On the nonemptiness of the adjoint linear system of a hyperplane section of a threefold*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **402** (1989), 211–220.

Hidetoshi Maeda
Department of Mathematical Sciences
School of Science and Engineering
Waseda University
3-4-1 Ohkubo, Shinjuku-ku
Tokyo 169-8555
Japan
e-mail: hmaeda@mse.waseda.ac.jp

Andrew J. Sommese
Department of Mathematics
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, INDIANA 46556
U. S. A.
e-mail: sommese@nd.edu